

#### Main-Sequence and sub-giant stars in the Globular Cluster NGC6397: The complex evolution of the lithium abundance

#### Jonay Isaí González Hernández

(1) Dpto. de Astrofísica y Ciencias de la Atmósfera, Facultad de Ciencias Físicas (UCM)
(2) Cosmological Impact of the First Stars (CIFIST Marie Curie Excellence team)
(3) Observatoire de Paris-Meudon (GEPI)



# Collaborators

- \* P. Bonifacio (CIFIST, Observatoire de Meudon)
- \* E. Caffau (Observatoire de Meudon)
- \* M. Steffen (Astrophysikalisches Institut Postdam
- \* H.-G. Ludwig (CIFIST, Observatoire de Paris-Meudon)
- \* N.T. Behara (CIFIST, Observatoire de Paris-Meudon)
- \* L. Sbordone (CIFIST, Observatoire de Paris-Meudon)
- \* R. Cayrel (Observatoire de Paris-Meudon)
- \* S. Zaggia (INAF- Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova)



# Outline

- Introduction: Lithium discrepancy
   3D model grid
   Observations: Globular Cluster NGC 6397
- Stellar parameters
- Lithium Abundances
- Discussion and conclusions



# Introduction



# Lithium in metal-poor stars









# Lithium discrepancy

The 0.4-0.5 dex of difference between the Spite plateau and WMAP may be explained by:

- Diffusion with turbulence (Richard et al. 2005)
- Gravity waves (Talon & Charbonnel 2004)
- Pre-galactic Li processing (Piau et al. 2006)
- Tachocline mixing (Piau et al. 2008)

- Non-standard BBN (Jedamzik 2004, 2006; Jittoh et al. 2008; Hisano et al. 2008)

#### **Pre-Galactic Li depletion**





# Tachocline mixing





Gravity waves



Gravity waves





 Only for solar type stars
 This needs to be done for metal-poor stars

### Atomic diffusion models













BUT, the turbulence is included in these models without postulating any physical mechanism responsible for it







CIFIST

Lind et al. (2009) find also an increase A(Li) towards lower Teff but now this is not consistent with the diffusionturbulence tracks They used a different Teff scale



### **3D Model Atmospheres**



3D models computed with CO<sup>5</sup>BOLD Representative selection of snapshots of the stellar photosphere Spectral synthesis code Linfor3D









**3D Models : temperature dependence**  $T_{\text{HFF}}=5900 \text{ K} \log(g)=4.5 \text{ [Fe/H]}=-2.$ 121 T<sub>EFF</sub>=5900 K log(g)=4.5 [Fe/H]=-2. 10 12 Li6708 **3DNLTE** τ<sub>ετο</sub> (mA) 8 Temperature [10<sup>2</sup> K] - <3D> CO'BOLD Teff = 5900K ----- 1D LHD 1DNL 6 dW/dlog 1 3D LTE -8 -6 -4 -2 0 2 -4 -2 0 2 4 dlog  $\tau_{e_{706}}$  $\log\tau_{\rm ross}$ T<sub>EFF</sub>=6300 K log(g)=4.5 [Fe/H]=-2. 15 T<sub>EFF</sub>=6300 K log(g)=4.5 [Fe/H]=-2. 12 Li6708 Temperature [10° K] \* Teff = 6300 K <3D> CO'BOLD ----- 1D | HD -4 -2 0 2 -8 -6 Δ 2 -4 -2 0 4 dlog  $\tau_{e_{706}}$ log τ<sub>m</sub> T<sub>EFF</sub>=6500 K log(g)=4.5 [Fe/H]=-2. 250 T<sub>EFF</sub>=6500 K log(g)=4.5 [Fe/H]=-2. 20 Li6708 dW/dlog  $\tau_{erre}$  (mA) Temperature [10° K] 15 – <3D> CO'BOLD \* Teff =6500 K ----- 1D LHD 10 5 -8 -6 -4 -2 0 2 Δ

-4

-2

0

 $\log \tau_{ross}$ 

2

4

dlog  $\tau_{6706}$ 

#### NLTE lithium profiles \* Teff = 5500 K \* Teff = 5900 K





#### \* Teff = 6500 K



#### \* Teff = 6300 K



## Atomic diffusion models





# **Observations of NGC 6397**



- Subgiant stars (m<sub>v</sub>~15.8)
- Dwarf stars (m<sub>v</sub>~17.4)

The same colour
 B-V ensures that
 the Teff of the
 two samples are
 roughly the same



# Observations of NGC 6397



- Spectroscopy with
   FLAMES/GIRAFFE in MEDUSA mode
   at the VLT
- Spectral range ~6470-6790
   angstroms
- Resolving Power:
   λ/δλ ~17,000 (17.6 km/s)
- S/N~ 80-130



# Data Reduction



We use the GIRAFFE pipeline provided by ESO, within Gasgano and also MIDAS



# Stellar parameters: 3D Teff



 We fit the observed Hα profiles using theoretical profiles for different effective temperatures and surface gravities

- Surface gravity was fixed according to the position of the stars with respect to an isochrone of 12Gyr and [Fe/H]=-2
- \* We do this exercise in 1D and 3D using the theory of Barklem et al. (2002) for self-broadening of  $H\alpha$

The difference in Teff 3D-1D is typically ~200K

#### 3D effective temperatures: Behara et al. (2008) Ludwig et al. (2009)



#### \* Subgiant star

#### Dwarf star



# **3D Temperatures**



#### Subgiants & Dwarfs





#### Subgiant star

#### Dwarf star





# Equivalent Width of Lithium

\* Subgiants vs. dwarfs



# **3D NLTE Lithium abundances**



We determine the curve of growth of Li for each of the 3D models with NLTE performed using the same code and model atom as in Cayrel et al. (2007), more details in Sbordone et al. (2009, submitted, Poster N. 27)

We determine the Li abundance using a analytical fit as a function of the stellar parameters, metallicity and the observed EW of Li of each star (see Sbordone et al. 2009, submitted, Poster N. 27)

# 3D NLTE Li abundances



#### Subgiants & Dwarfs



#### 3D NLTE Li abundances and 3D Teff of subgiants and dwarfs vs. Turbulent-Diffussion models



González Hernández et al. (2009, A&A, 505, L13-L16)

# Conclusions



Li surface abundance changes with evolutionary status.

The Li abundance pattern seen in the globular cluster NGC 6397 has not been observed so far in field stars.

The cosmological lithium problem still awaits a solution.

 Our observations call for new investigations into the stellar physics, including gravity waves, atomic diffusion, winds and turbulent mixing



#### Main-Sequence and sub-giant stars in the Globular Cluster NGC6397: The complex evolution of the lithium abundance

#### Jonay Isaí González Hernández

(1) Dpto. de Astrofísica y Ciencias de la Atmósfera, Facultad de Ciencias Físicas (UCM)
(2) Cosmological Impact of the First Stars (CIFIST Marie Curie Excellence team)
(3) Observatoire de Paris-Meudon (GEPI)

# **1D** Temperatures



#### Subgiants & Dwarfs



# 1D NLTE Li abundances



#### Subgiants & Dwarfs



#### 1D NLTE Li abundances and 1D Teff of subgiants and dwarfs vs. Turbulent-Diffussion models



González Hernández et al. (2009, IAU266, arXiv0910.2305)



300

Number of Wavelength bins

0.70

100

200

#### \* Teff = 6500 K

400

500

600



#### Lithium profiles: \* Teff = 5500 K



#### \* Teff = 6300 K



# Gravity & Temperature dependence of $\text{H}\alpha$ profiles





#### Sbordone et al. (2009, submitted)

#### Teff vs. [Fe/H]: field metal-poor stars





Sbordone et al. (2009, submitted)



#### 1D Barklem Teff vs. IRFM, Ali-Griem and 3D Teff: field metal-poor stars



Sbordone et al. (2009, submitted)



# Teff: Lind2009's vs. Ours





# EW: Lind2009's vs. Ours



# Surface gravities \* We adopt a logg = 3.85 for subgiants and 4.4 for dwarfs





#### 1D NLTE Li abundances and 1D Teff of subgiants and dwarfs vs. Turbulent-Diffussion models



# 3D Models : metallicity dependence

#### \* [Fe/H] = -3



#### ♦ [Fe/H] = -1



#### ✤ [Fe/H] = -2



#### \* [Fe/H] = 0



3D Models : gravity dependence  $T_{\text{HFF}}=5900 \text{ K} \log(g)=3.5 \text{ [Fe/H]}=-2.$ 121 T<sub>EFF</sub>=5900 K log(g)=3.5 [Fe/H]=-2. 10 12 **3DNLTE**  $\tau_{eros} \left( mA \right)$ Li6708 Temperature [10° K] 8 — <3D> CO'BOLD \* Log(g) = 3.5 ----- 1D LHD 1DNI 6 dV//dlog 3D LTE -8 -4 -2 0 2 -6 -4 -2 0 2 4 dlog  $\tau_{6706}$  $\log\tau_{\rm ross}$ T<sub>EFF</sub>=5900 K log(g)=4.0 [Fe/H]=-2. 12 T<sub>EFF</sub>=5900 K log(g)=4.0 [Fe/H]=-2. 10 12 Li6708 dW/dlog  $\tau_{eme}$  (mA) 8 ¥ 10 \* Log(g) = 4.0 - <3D> CO'BOLD Femperature [10<sup>2</sup> ----- 1D LHD 6 2 ٥ -4 -2 0 2 -8 -6 Δ 2 -4 -2 0 4 dlog  $\tau_{e_{706}}$ log τ<sub>m</sub> T<sub>HFF</sub>=5900 K log(g)=4.5 [Fe/H]=-2. 12 T<sub>EFF</sub>=5900 K log(g)=4.5 [Fe/H]=-2. 10 12 Li6708 dW/dlog  $\tau_{erre}$  (mA) Temperature [10° K] 8 \* Log(g) = 4.5 — <3D> CO'BOLD ----- 1D LHD 6 0 -4 0 -8 -6 -2 2 Δ -4 -2 0 2 4 dlog  $\tau_{6706}$ 

 $\log \tau_{ross}$ 





T6.09 T6.0 T5.8

Korn et al. (2006, 2007)

# Lithium in metal-poor stars





# Lithium in metal-poor stars





#### **Pre-Galactic Li depletion**





# Lithium in metal-poor stars



### Non-standard BBN



\* In SBBN: possible large errors on the cross-sections of  ${}^{3}\text{He}(\alpha,\gamma){}^{7}\text{Be}$  reaction seems unlikely

 Late-decaying massive particles (Jedamzik 2004): electromagnetic decay implies D abundance too high or <sup>3</sup>He/D ratio too low (Ellis et al. 2005)

 If the decaying particle = gravitino, then Spite plateau and baryonic density determined by WMAP may be reconciled (Jedamzik 2006).







Globular
cluster NGC
6397
(Fe/H] = -2.

# Equivalent width of Lithium



 We use an automatic program that fits the observed Li profile with synthetic spectra and determines the EW

 We fix the instrumental broadening to 17.6 km/s

# Conclusions



The result presented here are preliminary, but they show that the solution to the cosmological lithium problem is not yet solved.

 There exist observational evidence in field stars with subgiants more Li abundant than turn-off stars (Charbonnel & Primas 2005)

# Conclusions



This points towards that internal gravity waves may be the solution to the Li problem (Talon & Charbonnel 2004), although atomic diffusion and rotation should be also important

We plan to observe the same sample of stars with FLAMES/GIRAFFE at VLT using a blue setup to be able to determine the Fe, Mg, Ti and Ca abundances in order to definitely confirm this result